UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,359	08/13/2008	Jungi Kondo	2006_ 0423 A	3527
	7590 10/22/200 , LIND & PONACK I	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Street, N.W.			NGUYEN, LEON VIET Q	
Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/22/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/575,359	KONDO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LEON-VIET Q. NGUYEN	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time.	I. ely filed	
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	cause the application to become ABANDONEI	O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Au     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 April 2006 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical services.</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/11/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/575,359 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 4/11/06 was filed after the mailing date 4/11/06. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 4, 5, 9, 10, 13, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vialle (EP1359684).

Re claim 1, Vialle discloses a channel-estimating apparatus comprising:

an input unit (component 6 in fig. 4) operable to receive several pieces of channel information (the coefficients formed by component 5 in fig. 3) from a plurality of receivers (¶0025, each rake receiver in fig. 4 receives the coefficients from component 5), said plurality of receivers being operable to perform MIMO communication through a plurality of channels (fig. 1);

an estimating unit (component 22 in fig. 4) operable to collectively estimate statuses of the plurality of channels (¶0026, the channel estimation) in accordance with

Application/Control Number: 10/575,359

Art Unit: 2611

the several pieces of channel information received by said input unit (¶0025, the coefficients formed by component 5 in fig. 3), whereby estimation results are generated (¶0026, the channel estimation results from component 22); and

an output unit (component 26 in fig. 4) operable to feed the estimation results (the output of component 22 in fig. 4) into said plurality of receivers (fig. 4).

Re claim 4, Vialle discloses a channel-estimating apparatus wherein said estimating unit generates the estimation results for all of the plurality of channels (¶0026, component 22 in fig. 4).

Re claim 5, Vialle discloses a channel-estimating apparatus wherein the estimation results are a combination of as many pieces of estimation results as the plurality of channels (¶0025).

Re claims 9 and 10, the claim limitations as recited have been analyzed and addressed in the above rejections with respect to claim 1.

Re claim 13, the claim limitations as recited have been analyzed and addressed in the above rejections with respect to claim 4.

Re claim 14, the claim limitations as recited have been analyzed and addressed in the above rejections with respect to claim 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,359 Page 4

Art Unit: 2611

Re claim 18, Vialle discloses a communication system wherein the MIMO communication is made through antennas possessed by at least two receivers among said plurality of receivers (rake receivers in fig. 4).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 6-8, 11, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vialle (EP1359684) in view of Walton et al (US20030125040).

Re claim 2, Vialle fails to teach a channel-estimating apparatus wherein each of said plurality of receivers comprises a plurality of antennas and a plurality of receiving units, each of said plurality of receiving units being connected to corresponding one of said plurality of antennas, and wherein each of the several pieces of channel information is received electrical power of a signal received by each of said plurality of receiving units.

However Walton teaches a channel-estimating apparatus wherein each of said plurality of receivers (106a-106n in fig. 2A) comprises a plurality of antennas (252a-252r in fig. 2A) and a plurality of receiving units (254a-254r in fig. 2A), each of said plurality of receiving units being connected to corresponding one of said plurality of antennas (fig.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,359

Art Unit: 2611

2A), and wherein each of the several pieces of channel information is received electrical power of a signal received by each of said plurality of receiving units (¶0258-¶0259).

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Vialle and Walton as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of Walton into the apparatus of Vialle. The motivation to combine Walton and Vialle would be to enhance system capacity (¶0055 of Walton).

Re claim 6, the modified invention of Vialle teaches a channel-estimating apparatus wherein each of said plurality of receiving units (component 2 in fig. 4 of Vialle) possesses weighting coefficients for use in weighting the received electrical power (component 25 in fig. 4 of Vialle), and wherein said estimating unit generates coefficients as the estimation results, the coefficients being corresponding to the weighting coefficients (¶0026 of Vialle).

Re claim 7, the modified invention of Vialle teaches a channel-estimating apparatus wherein said output unit (component 26 in fig. 4 of Vialle) feeds a coefficient set into said plurality of receivers (¶0026 and fig. 4 of Vialle), the coefficient set including the coefficients (¶0028 of Vialle).

Re claim 8, the modified invention of Vialle teaches a channel-estimating apparatus wherein the coefficients in the coefficient set correspond in number to all of said plurality of antennas possessed by said plurality of receivers (¶0028 of Vialle).

Re claim 11, the claim limitations as recited have been analyzed and addressed in the above rejections with respect to claim 2.

Re claim 15, the claim limitations as recited have been analyzed and addressed in the above rejections with respect to claim 6.

Re claim 16, the claim limitations as recited have been analyzed and addressed in the above rejections with respect to claim 7.

Re claim 17, the claim limitations as recited have been analyzed and addressed in the above rejections with respect to claim 8.

5. Claims 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vialle (EP1359684) and Walton et al (US20030125040) in view of Aldajani et al (US7415285).

Re claim 3, the modified invention of Vialle fails to teach a channel-estimating apparatus wherein said estimating unit divides the received electrical power by each predetermined electrical power value, thereby generating the estimation results.

However Aldajani teaches dividing a received electrical power by each predetermined electrical power value (col. 2 lines 43-46, the ratio of the predicted power fading divided by the estimated power fading), thereby generating estimation results

(col. 2 lines 43-46). Although Aldajani teaches power fading, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to first calculate the power before the power fading and use those values to perform channel estimation.

Therefore taking the modified teachings of Vialle and Walton with Aldajani as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of Aldajani into the apparatus of Vialle and Walton. The motivation to combine Walton, Aldajani and Vialle would be to reduce error variance (col. 1 lines 66-67 of Aldajani).

Re claim 12, the claim limitations as recited have been analyzed and addressed in the above rejections with respect to claim 3.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEON-VIET Q. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)270-1185. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, alternate Friday off, 7:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David C. Payne can be reached on 571-272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,359 Page 8

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Leon-Viet Q Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2611

/David C. Payne/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611